

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,643	KUHL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert C. Scheibel	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Joseph P. Conneely (Reg. No. 54,883).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: 9:00 AM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 24 and 25.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner requested that the language of claims 24 and 25 be modified such that the two step mapping be worded similarly to claim 1. Applicant agreed; the changes are indicated in the Examiner's Amendment included herein.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C. Scheibel 4-26-07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)